

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,271	02/11/2004	Robert Sconce	Sconce	5436
7590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Jeffrey N. Collins			HAYES, BRET C	
Collins & Skillerr, P.L.L.C. 5327 Summerhill Road Texarkana, TX 75503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/777,271	SCONCE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bret C. Hayes	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	pril 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 9-18 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 9-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   04 APR 05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) hte atent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 and 9 16, drawn to a sight for a firearm, classified in class 42, subclass 111.
  - II. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to a method of attaching a sight, classified in class 42, subclass 111.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced using other apparatuses – meaning, one would not necessarily need to be mounting a sight. One could, for example, be mounting an elevation adjustment piece to chair leg.

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Jeffrey Collins on 23 JUN 05 a provisional election was made WOTHOUT traverse to prosecute the invention of the apparatus, claims 1 and 9-16.

Art Unit: 3644

Affirmation of this election **MUST** be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17 and 18 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitations "said firearm" (4X) in lines 5, 7, 25 and 27, and "said female dovetail" in line 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Re said firearm, it is clear that Applicant is not claiming a firearm, nor the combination of a firearm sight and a firearm, but rather a firearm sight. Thus, there is no inherent 'firearm' in the structure of a firearm sight as claimed. For any later recitation of 'said firearm' to have antecedent basis, 'a firearm' must be clearly and previously recited in the claim, such as, at line 1, "... firearm sight in combination with a firearm," for example. Further, claim 1 recites "a threaded shank" at lines 12 and 13, which is unclear as the claim previously recites "a threaded portion" at line 8. Is this a separate and distinct threaded shank different from the threaded portion previously recited? Further still, claim 1 recites the limitation "a flat surface" at line 16, which is unclear as the claim previously recited as the claim previously recited?

Application/Control Number: 10/777,271 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

line 15. Is this a separate and distinct flat surface different from either of the first or second flat surfaces? Even further still, claim 1 recites the limitation "a threaded insert" at line 19, which is unclear as the claim previously recites "an insert that is internally threaded" at line 7. Is this a separate and distinct threaded insert different from the insert previously recited?

7. Any unspecified claim is rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1 and 9 16 would appear to be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action, as best understood at this time.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither discloses nor fairly teaches the claimed combination including a sight body, a screw having an aperture and first and second flat surfaces, the second flat surface having scallops forming a sight plane, and a flex plate having an opening fitting over the cylinder of the threaded insert, and a dovetail shoulder.
- 10. This statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why the claims are allowed and has not been written to specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth (MPEP 1302.14).

Art Unit: 3644

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (571) 272 – 6902. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 am to 3:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu, can be reached at (571)272 – 7045. The fax number is (703) 872 – 9306.

bh

26-Jun-05

MICHAEL J. CATIONE CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER